

1901
K8

Kreikenbaum

Ash Analysis of Foods

Chemistry

B. S.

1901

Learning and Labor.

LIBRARY

OF THE

University of Illinois.

CLASS.


BOOK.

VOLUME.

1901 K8

Accession No.





Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2013

<http://archive.org/details/ashanalysisoffoo00krei>

2000
187

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA

THE ASH ANALYSIS OF FOODS

BY ADOLPH KREIKENBAUM

THESIS

FOR THE

Degree of Bachelor of Science in Chemistry

IN THE

College of Science

University of Illinois

1901

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

May 31st, 1901

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE THESIS PREPARED UNDER MY SUPERVISION BY

Adolph Kreikenbaum under Dr. Grindley

ENTITLED

The ash analysis of Foods

IS APPROVED BY ME AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF

B.S. in Chemistry

Arthur W. Palmer

HEAD OF DEPARTMENT OF

Chemistry

The Ash Analysis of Foods.

One of the most common questions of the day is that of Pure Foods. It agitates state legislatures and busies the chemists. The wholesale adulteration of foods has led to strict laws, which are designed to protect the consumer from the deceit of unscrupulous manufacturers.

Chemical analyses are generally necessary to enforce these laws, for, without them it is difficult to distinguish the genuine from the adulterated article. Yet even there the chemical analyses often fail, because the manufactured substance may give results close to those of the genuine. The most conspicuous example of this class is maple syrup. The amount of sugar

before and after inversion is the determination usually made. Now an article may be manufactured, which can contain just about the required amount of sugars as found by the polariscope in the genuine article and composed of dextro rotatory or laevo rotatory as the case may be. Then also the essential ether, which was isolated within the last ten years is added to give it the much valued flavor and the 'maple syrup' is ready for the market.

The various condiments are most frequently adulterated by worthless additions and the unsuspecting consumer robbed of his money and sometimes of his health. Vinegar is another substance commonly adulterated.

In these and in others the organic analyses have often failed to indicate whether the substances were genuine or not. An article in the Journal of the American Chemical Society entitled, "Cider Vinegar: Its Solids and Ash," by R. E. Doolittle and H. H. Hess drew my attention to a possible indicator for a new method. These men had determined the mineral constituents of samples of pure cider vinegar, apple pomace and spurious vinegars. In these instances some of the mineral constituents of the spurious substances varied considerably from the amount in the pure cider vinegar.

Again a report in the Bulletin of the Connect

cent Experiment Station
showed that out of sixty
one samples of maple
syrup obtained in twenty
two cities, seven only de-
viated from the average
result, while the rest
were so nearly alike
that the genuine could
not be distinguished from
the adulterated, though
there is reason to believe
that the article is much
adulterated. In perus-
ing the journals I was
unable to find any lit-
erature upon ash anal-
yses outside of the one
mentioned above and, I
therefore, resolved to test
its value. This I did
by using a genuine
cider vinegar and a
white wine vinegar, a
genuine maple syrup
known to be such and

are obtained from a city grocery and labeled "maple syrup"; then a sample of ground pepper and cayenne. The latter I compared with unground samples of pepper and cayenne. The unground samples were obtained from a wholesale house in Chicago and were samples from different countries. Samples from different countries were taken as it was thought that the difference of the ground in different countries would vary the mineral constituent.

The method of analysis used was that described in Bulletin 46, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Division of Chemistry for ash analysis.

	White Wine Vinegar 1	Cider Vinegar 2	Canned Maple Syrup 4	Genuine Maple Syrup 5	Unground Pepper 6	Tellicherry Pepper 8	Singapore Pepper 9	Unground Cinnamon 7	Saigon Cinnamon 10	Batavia Cinnamon 11	Canton Cassia Cinnamon 12
SiO_2	1.93	0.73	1.49	4.08	12.11	2.12	7.39	8.96	1.70	0.65	2.33
Mn_3O_4	0.35	0.12	0.06	0.10	0.42	—	0.06	0.14	0.11	0.26	0.28
CaO	24.94	2.05	9.69	4.32	18.15	6.29	6.66	14.67	32.57	34.81	20.73
MgO	6.24	2.31	5.16	2.77	4.38	2.79	2.17	2.80	1.73	2.33	4.68
SO_3	16.34	6.85	8.53	1.95	1.79	4.18	4.14	2.94	4.16	3.53	6.92
Fe_2O_3	1.44	—	0.46	0.09	2.76	0.66	1.17	3.56	0.38	0.51	0.05
Al_2O_3	2.44	—	3.36	7.17	12.66	9.18	14.19	10.39	7.28	6.01	12.26
P_2O_5	2.75	26.23	9.51	0.30	2.10	30.94	9.40	1.99	2.59	3.42	4.16
Cl	2.28	8.62	18.00	1.69	1.79	7.47	4.15	Trace	0.74	0.21	Trace
K_2O	23.36	29.61	8.29	31.18	12.11	11.27	30.68	9.30	25.95	29.29	42.32
Na_2O	10.97	23.55	35.62	31.88	7.60	24.66	14.81	5.79	18.12	16.17	5.24
Sand				12.17	21.69	0.79	3.49	37.15	1.53	0.72	2.55

By glancing over the tabulated results of the analyses, comparisons can easily be made. Sample 1 is white wine vinegar and sample 2 pure cider vinegar. These results bear out the work of Doolittle and Hess. The high per cent of lime in vinegar 1 would indicate the use of that substance in its manufacture. As might be expected the amount of phosphoric acid is much greater in the cider vinegar than in the white wine vinegar.

Syrup 4 is a canned article obtained from a city grocery and labeled maple syrup, while syrup 5 is a sample of maple syrup known to be genuine. There are no very marked differences here. Contrary to expectations syrup 4 has a much higher per cent of

phosphoric acid & the chlorine also is considerably higher in syrup 4, while the potash of syrup 5 is much higher. As only one sample of the genuine maple syrup was analysed no definite statement can be made.

Even if results were reasonably close in a number of samples of the pure syrup, the method would be too impractical for use, for too much time is consumed obtaining the ash, as the fusing of the mass necessitates much watching and the burning down of the charred mass is a tedious operation.

Pepper 6 is a sample of ground pepper obtained from a grocery, pepper 8 unground Sechuan and pepper 9 unground Longshore. Most of the constituents of the ground pepper vary con-

incredibly from the amount
in the underground. The only
constituents reasonably close
are H_2O and N_2O . The N_2O
of the ground pepper and of
the Tellicherry agree closely,
but that of the Singapore is
far from them. The amounts
of phosphoric acid in all
three are wide apart. The
lime, magnesia and sul-
phuric acid of the Tellicherry
and Singapore peppers are
very close, while that of the
underground is quite different.
Here it would seem that a
possible indicator may be
found in the lime, magnesia
and sulphuric acid, and a
little more work might be
done to test the matter fur-
ther. There is not the objection
to the method here as with
the syrup, for the pepper is
readily burned to ash and
needs no watching.

A glance at the comparison columns shows plainly that the results vary too much to be of any use as indicators. The three underground samples of cinnamon, i. e., which are Saigon, Batavia and Canton Cassia respectively, do not agree. They agree in some places in pairs, but the third again is far off. The underground cinnamon, however, shows results far different from the others, but that is due to the large amount of sand, which was plainly used as an adulterant, being present in the ash to the amount of thirty seven per cent as compared to one to two per cent in the underground.

Judging from the work here done and from the results obtained it would seem that the ash analysis

could not be used as an indicator between genuine and adulterated foods, as the ash content in samples of the genuine varies considerably, so that no definite amount can be set down as an indicator for the pure stuff. The only place where the method seems to work is in the case of the vinegar, where the results obtained bear out the work of Doolittle and Hess. The most that can be said of the investigation is that it shows negative results.

"Approved"

H. S. Grindley,

Associate Professor of Chemistry,

May 30th 1901.





UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA



3 0112 086855084